

Reconciling Debt Sustainability and Development in Sub-Saharan Africa

*Duke CID Conference on
Development, November 2018*

Brian Pinto

Former Senior Adviser, The World Bank

Source of Presentation

- Presentation draws upon two papers:
 - A critique of the 2017 version of the IMF-WB Low-Income Country Debt Sustainability Framework (LIC DSF) being applied to SSA in spite of being obsolete (Pinto 2018a)
 - An exploration of policy options to better align debt sustainability and development in Ethiopia in the light of its downgrade to high risk of debt distress by the IMF/WB (Pinto 2018b)
 - The Ethiopia case study illustrates the obsolescence of the IMF-WB LIC DSF.

Clash between debt sustainability and development in SSA

- This clash has two aspects:
 1. Massive remaining SDG investment needs cannot be met from own resources => need to borrow => debt sustainability problems even in the better-governed SSA countries, e.g., Kenya, Rwanda, Ethiopia
 2. Initial conditions not good with widespread existing public debt sustainability problems in SSA (Felino and Pinto 2017, IMF 2018a)
 - Therefore, continued focus of the 2017 version of the IMF-WB LIC DSF on *external debt* distress (IMF 2017) counterproductive. Problem lies with *public debt* as Ethiopia cogently illustrates.

Four Obstacles to Resolving Clash

1. Governance, leadership and institutions in SSA
 - Rwanda is SSA's star performer. It's CPIA is 4 out of 6. Sovereign credit rating is B (S&P), 5 levels below investment grade. Very high CAD a key factor.
 - Time for Africa to take charge of its own destiny.
2. Failure to accept that present system of ODA not delivering either on debt sustainability or long-run growth just 10 years after HIPC-MDRI
 - Need better early warning and analytical frameworks. LIC DSF (even the 2017 revision) is hopelessly obsolete.
3. Development financing needs remain vast and immediate while ODA is shrinking.
 - Need better framework for ODA and stronger coordination across donors and IMF/WB/AfDB.
4. Not much appetite for overhauling even a system that is clearly not working among donors or IMF/WB/AfDB.

Approach to Resolving Clash

1. Vast upfront SDG investment needs while ODA is shrinking=>need for front-loading ODA while pricing it better. Market debt increasingly defines marginal cost of borrowing in SSA=>less concessional, more front-loaded ODA
2. Concerns about widespread misuse of public resources especially in NR rich countries (Felino and Pinto 2017, IMF 2018b)=> raise bar for access to ODA, institute a tougher policy dialogue
 - a. Ghana, Mozambique, Zambia: obvious public debt sustainability problems worsened by weak PFM systems
 - b. Ethiopia, Kenya, Rwanda: constant tension between infrastructure investments and macroeconomic sustainability=>allow for heterogeneity, move away from templates like the LIC DSF
3. Shift focus in LIC DSF from external debt distress to public debt
 - a. This would shift attention from symptom (external debt distress) to malady (unsustainable public debt dynamics spilling over into CADs and external debt)
4. Donors and IMF/WB/AfDB need to speak with one voice based on collaboration rather than competition/condescension/turf-mindedness.

See High Level Panel (2017) report on more innovative approaches to SSA development finance.

Ethiopia's January 2018 downgrade to red (high risk of *external* debt distress)

- Macroeconomic data from IMF January 2018 Ethiopia Country Report (IMF 2018b) make it abundantly clear that CADs and external debt dynamics being driven by public sector deficits--**in turn driven by massive public investments in infrastructure**
- Yet IMF (2018b) notes that public debt does not “flag additional risks”. Indeed, ratio of public debt to GDP falls by 7 percentage points during 2015/16 and 2016/17 in spite of large primary deficits, superficially supporting IMF position
- But composite **real** interest rate was -5% (2015/16) and -14% (2016/17) as a result of financial repression and overvalued real exchange rates.
 - If composite real interest rate had been just 3% instead, public debt/GDP would have *risen* by 4 pp instead of *falling* by 7 pp over these two years.

Ethiopia (continued)

- Two crucial questions for Ethiopia:
 - 1 What would public debt dynamics look like without financial repression and real overvaluation?
 - 2 Will the country's massive infrastructure investments pay off in terms of future growth and taxes?
 - ◆ If “yes” Ethiopia could benefit from more front-loaded, long-maturity ODA and could approach both China and other donors for this **supported by the IMF/WB/AfDB**
- Both questions are crucial as Government seeks to hand over the growth baton to FDI and the private sector in line with its growth strategy. **BUT neither is raised in the IMF/WB DSA or in the broader policy analysis.**
- Nor are such questions likely to be raised in the context of the “significant overhaul” claimed by the obsolete 2017 LIC DSF.

References

- IMF. 2017. Review of the Debt Sustainability Framework for Low Income Countries: Proposed Reforms. Published October 2, 2017.
- IMF. 2018a. “Macroeconomic Developments and Prospects in Low-Income Developing Countries—2018.” IMF Policy Paper. March.
- IMF. 2018b. “The Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia: Staff Report for the 2017 Article IV Consultation--Press Release; Staff Report; and Statement by the Executive Director for The Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia.” IMF Country Report No. 18/18.
- Felino, Luisa Teixeira and Brian Pinto. 2017. Debt Sustainability and Development Implications of Moderately Concessional Lending Terms for ADF Countries. ADF Lab WP 3, June.
- High Level Panel. 2017. Reinvigorating African Concessional Finance. Report of the High Level Panel on Transforming Trust in the AfDB Group Into Influence.
- Pinto, Brian. 2018a. “The 2017 Version of the IMF and World Bank’s LIC Debt Sustainability Framework: ‘Significant Overhaul’ or Obsolete?” June 1 2018.
- Pinto, Brian. 2018b. “Ethiopia’s Downgrade to High Risk of Debt Distress: A Policy Framework for the Government.” October.